Application no: - 150378.

24 Manor Place Cults Abeldeen ABIS-9 and.

Dow Sul Madam.

I wish to object to the proposed extension at no 13 Mand Place Cults Aboutlan

- I The proposed extension is actually larger than the existing house.
- 2) The front of the proposed extension is set boack and, I feel it should be in line just existing house.
- 3) The front of the proposed extension is to be rendered rather than faced with grantly which no 5/5 and 9 has had to do.
- 4) The roof of the proposed extension is only half way and I feel should be in line with existing roof
- 5) The granite at the side of the house is to be framed and plaster board and I feel this should be used to face the front of the proposed extension.

Yours faits fully

ΡĮ

P150378 - RMC

From:

webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk

Sent:

07 April 2015 11:35

To:

ΡI

Subject:

Planning Comment for 150378

Comment for Planning Application 150378

Name: Alison Webster Address: 11 Manor Place,

Cults Aberdeen AB159qn

Telephone:

Email:

type:

Comment:

I wish to object to the proposed extension at no 13 Manor Place Cults.

1)The proposed extension is actually wider than the existing house.

2) The front of the proposed extension is set back and not in line with the existing house.

3)The front of the proposed extension is to be RENDERED rather than faced with the beautiful GRANITE from the side of the existing house something numbers 3/5 and 9 has had to do before their plans got the go ahead. (Why on earth would anyone want to timber frame and plasterboard GRANITE to cover it up).

4) Why drop the roof at the fronton the proposed extension? Why not keep it inline with the existing roof as numbers 3/5 and 9 have had to do to their house extension?

5)My greatest concern is the DRAINAGE. Number 13 drains come into ours at no.11 then go to the manhole cover at my back patio then along the side of my house then into the main sewer that runs along Manor Place and down to Kirk Brae. In the past 8 years we have had our patio dug up 4 times and one part replaced because of number 13 ing the house to tenants; who had put all sorts down the drain including sanitary products. The drains are clay pipes dating from 1935, and they do struggle to cope with what we have at this moment. Additional outlets would add to this problem. At this moment we have drainage problems, and once again I'm told my patio will have to dug up. Why not have a soak away in the garden, something number 9 has had to do.

Alison Webster.

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This e-mail (including any attachment to it) is confidential, protected by copyright and may be privileged. The information contained in it should be used for its intended purposes only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender by reply email, delete the received email and do not make use of, disclose or copy it. Whilst we take reasonable precautions to ensure that our emails are free from viruses, we cannot be responsible for any viruses transmitted with this email and recommend that you subject any incoming email to your own virus checking procedures. Unless related to Council business, the opinions expressed in this email are those of the sender and they do not necessarily constitute those of Aberdeen City Council. Unless we expressly say otherwise in this email or its attachments create, form part of or vary any contractual or unilateral obligation. Aberdeen City Council's incoming and outgoing email is subject to regular monitoring.

ΡI

P150378-RMC

From:

webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk

Sent:

07 April 2015 09:15

To:

PΙ

Subject:

Planning Comment for 150378

Comment for Planning Application 150378

Name: Mr David Webster Address: 11 Manor Place

Cults Aberdeen AB15 9QN

Telephone:

Email

type:

Comment: I wish to object to the proposed extension for the following reasons.

The existing drainage has problems without any additional outlets being added to the system. The drainage pipe is leaking at this moment in time and will require repairing/replacing. This has been a problem at least 4 times in the past 8 years when the house was leased out and various tenants flushed anything down the waste pipe. It would be far better if the two houses had separate drainage.

The extension front would be more in line with the surrounding houses if it had the granite block facing rather than the rendered finish as is being proposed. This would match or tone in with the existing houses.

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This e-mail (including any attachment to it) is confidential, protected by copyright and may be privileged. The information contained in it should be used for its intended purposes only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender by reply email, delete the received email and do not make use of, disclose or copy it. Whilst we take reasonable precautions to ensure that our emails are free from viruses, we cannot be responsible for any viruses transmitted with this email and recommend that you subject any incoming email to your own virus checking procedures. Unless related to Council business, the opinions expressed in this email are those of the sender and they do not necessarily constitute those of Aberdeen City Council. Unless we expressly say otherwise in this email or its attachments, neither this email nor its attachments create, form part of or vary any contractual or unilateral obligation. Aberdeen City Council's incoming and outgoing email is subject to regular monitoring.

PΙ

P150378 - RMC

From:

webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk

Sent:

07 April 2015 10:06

To:

ΡI

Subject:

Planning Comment for 150378

Comment for Planning Application 150378

Name : Andrew McFarlane Address : 34 Manor Place

Cults Aberdeen AB15 9QN

Telephone:

Email.

type:

Comment: I have no objections to the proposed extension in design or scale, however, I do object to the proposed finish to the n/w elevation. The plans state that the n/w elevation will be ' full height walling finished in render to match existing ' none of the existing property is finished in render, it is all pink granite.

Proposed extensions and alterations should be architecturally compatible in design and scale, this is achieved but the materials used on the n/w elevation are not complementary to the existing building and would be out of character with other buildings of a similar traditional design, pink granite frontage, concrete lintels and quoins would be more in keeping with the original property with the remainder finished in pink dry dash render. This approach has been used in other extensions of a similar design on the street and would maintain the existing traditional frontage to these properties.

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This e-mail (including any attachment to it) is confidential, protected by copyright and may be privileged. The information contained in it should be used for its intended purposes only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender by reply email, delete the received email and do not make use of, disclose or copy it. Whilst we take reasonable precautions to ensure that our emails are free from viruses, we cannot be responsible for any viruses transmitted with this email and recommend that you subject any incoming email to your own virus checking procedures. Unless related to Council business, the opinions expressed in this email are those of the sender and any do not necessarily constitute those of Aberdeen City Council. Unless we expressly say otherwise in this email or its attachments, neither this email nor its attachments create, form part of or vary any contractual or unilateral obligation. Aberdeen City Council's incoming and outgoing email is subject to regular monitoring.

ΡĮ

P150378 - RMC

From:

webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk

Sent:

06 April 2015 12:08

To:

ΡI

Subject:

Planning Comment for 150378

Comment for Planning Application 150378

Name: Professor Roger Pertwee

Address: 24 Manor Place

Cults Aberdeen AB15 9QN

Telephone:

Email:

type:

Comment: My wife (Teresa Pertwee) and I strongly object to the absence of any granite facing on the North West 'front) Elevation of this proposed extension to 13 Manor Place as this puts it " out of character" with (a) the rest of the house (both 13 Manor Place and the adjoining 11 Manor Place), and (b) the rest of the " south" side of Manor Place, in a manner that would reduce the attractiveness of Manor Place. In our view, the facing of the front of the extension should consist of granite blocks - like the existing North West (front) Elevation of 13 (and 11) Manor Place.

We also consider (a) that the North West (front) Elevation of the proposed extension should not be set back from the existing worth West Elevation of the house and (b) that the height of the roof of this proposed extension should be the same as the current roof height (of 13 and 11 Manor Place) – not lower, as has been proposed in this application. The new owners of 9 Manor Place have adopted both of these strategies, e.g. by not reducing the roof height of their ongoing extension.

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This e-mail (including any attachment to it) is confidential, protected by copyright and may be privileged. The information contained in it should be used for its intended purposes only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender by reply email, delete the received email and do not make use of, disclose or copy it. Whilst we take reasonable precautions to ensure that our emails are free from viruses, we cannot be responsible for any viruses transmitted with this email and recommend that you subject any incoming email to your own virus checking procedures. Unless related to Council business, the opinions expressed in this email are those of the sender and they do not necessarily constitute those of Aberdeen City Council. Unless we expressly say otherwise in this email or its attachments, neither this email nor its attachments create, form part of or vary any contractual or unilateral obligation. Aberdeen City Council's incoming and outgoing email is subject to regular monitoring.